UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
VR GLOBAL PARTNERS, L.P. PATON HOLDINGS: LTD., VR CAPITAL GROUP LTD., AND VR ARGENTINA RECOVERY FUND, LTD.,	No. 07 Civ. 8686 (GEL)
Plaintiffs, :	FILED ELECTRONICALLY
- against -	
PHILIP R. BENNETT, ET AL.,	
Defendants. :	
CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SELECT FUND : LTD., INVESTMENT & DEVELOPMENT : FINANCE CORPORATION, IDC FINANCIAL S.A., :	No. 07 Civ. 8688 (GEL)
Plaintiffs,	
- against -	
PHILIP R. BENNETT, ET AL.,	
Defendants.	
·	

DECLARATION OF MATTHEW J. SAVA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS JOSEPH J. MURPHY'S AND WILLIAM M. SEXTON'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINTS

MATTHEW J. SAVA hereby declares under penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm Shapiro Forman Allen & Sava LLP, attorneys for defendant Joseph J. Murphy. I respectfully submit this Declaration in support of the joint motion by defendants Murphy and William M. Sexton to dismiss the Complaints in the above-captioned actions.
 - 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is an excerpt of the transcript of testimony by

Thomas Yorke ("Yorke") at a hearing before the Honorable Robert D. Drain in the United States

Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York in connection with the Refco

bankruptcy. Mr. Yorke, a former Executive Vice President of Refco Capital Markets, Ltd.

("RCM") on its Global Execution and Finance Desk, testified in connection with a hearing

before Judge Drain regarding procedures followed by RCM with respect to customer securities.

3. Mr. Yorke's testimony took place over three days in early 2006 (February 28,

March 3 and March 6). Mr. Yorke's testimony is cited, referred to and relied upon in the

Complaints in the above-captioned actions. Plaintiffs do not, and cannot, allege in their

Complaints that Mr. Yorke ever mentioned Joseph Murphy or William Sexton in his testimony,

which I have independently confirmed based on a review of the more than 750 transcript pages of

Mr. Yorke's testimony.

Dated: New York, New York

February 21, 2008

/

Matthew J. Sava

2

EXHIBIT A

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3	X		
4	In Re the Matter of:	: : 05-60006	
5	REFCO, INC.,	: : One Bowling Green	
6	Debtors.	: New York, New York : March 3, 2006	
7 8	OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSE	:	
9	Plaintiffs,	: :	
10	v.	: :	
11	JOHN DOE, et al.,	: :	
12	Defendants.	: <u>:</u>	
13	X		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN		
15	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
16	APPEARANCES:		
17	For the Debtor:		
18		kadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP our Times Square	
19		ew York, New York 10036	
20			
21		ATTHEW BARV, ESQ. ilbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy	
22	O:	ne Chase Manhattan Plaza ew York, New York 10005	
23	100	CW TOTA, NEW TOTA 10005	
24	For Bank of America:	HOMAS TORMEY, ESQ.	
25	Do 4	Davis, Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017	
		(Appearances continued on next page)	

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	SOUTH	ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	APPEARANCES (Continued)		
4			
5			
6			
7	Court Transcriber:	STACEY DELSOLE	
8		TypeWrite Word Processing Service 356 Eltingville Boulevard	
9		Staten Island, New York 10312	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Proceedings recorded by	electronic sound recording,	

Litt - Cross 80 MR. LITT: Your Honor, I'm going to ask him some 1 2 questions about it. I just want him to understand that he saw it. 3 By the way, had you read that language before this 4 5 bankruptcy? I have probably just reviewed the customer accounts on a 6 cursory basis prior to the bankruptcy. 7 A cursory basis. 8 Q Correct. 9 Α Is that right? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 But it's this agreement that you base your contention that you had a right to hypothecate customer securities, right? 13 14 Α Yes. You ran the desk that hypothecated more than \$2 billion of 16 customer securities and in your words pushed it up to Refco Capital and the Refco empire and had no idea of the basis for 17 18 doing it? 19 Well, that's not correct. 20 So what was your basis? Well, my basis was that when I came on in '97 I was 21 22 working for two years for someone who was running this business 23 and he explained to me how the business worked and how we were 24 to run it. And then when he left I took over and ran the

business in the manner that it had been run for the two years

25

Litt - Cross 81 1 that I originally joined the business. I understood it to be run in connection with Refco's rights as a result of it being a 2 Bermudian entity. So as a result, you never had occasion, or thought about, 4 reading this customer agreement? 5 No, I would have, like I said, looked at one on a cursory 6 basis from time to time. 7 8 Would you turn to page CMSF 14, which I believe it page 9 Yes. This document also describes [inaudible] from that paragraph, right? Down in the Miscellaneous under where we 10 define what Refco is about in the Miscellaneous section? 11 It says "Trades executed at Refco" and then --12 Α 13 Then go down. 0 -- I add down below it says "Trades executed away from 14 Refco". 15 16 But cleared by Refco, right? 17 Α Yes. That's called what? 18 0 Well, they have in brackets here, Prime Brokerage, but I 19 20 hesitate to describe clearing a trade away as Prime Brokerage. But RCM described to their clients this was Prime 21 Brokerage, right? 22 23 Well, I wouldn't describe this -- I don't think there's 24 any section in here in this particular agreement describing

25

Prime Brokerage.